UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

TELEBRANDS CORP.,

Plaintiff,

22 Civ. 2922 (JPC)

-v-

PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ORDER

HEFEI MIKEDE MAGNETIC POWER CO., LTD. d/b/a NEOACT,

Defendant.

GLOSSARY

Term	Definition
Plaintiff or Telebrands	Telebrands Corp.
Defendant	Hefei Mikede Magnetic Power Co., Ltd. d/b/a
	NEOACT
Epstein Drangel	Epstein Drangel LLP, counsel for Plaintiff
Complaint	Plaintiff's Complaint, filed on April 8, 2022
Plaintiff's Application	Plaintiff's application for 1) a temporary restraining
	order; 2) an order restraining Defendant's Merchant
	Storefronts (as defined <i>infra</i>); 3) an order to show
	cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue;
	4) an order authorizing alternative service; and 5) an
A 41 4' D 1 CI'I	order authorizing expedited discovery
Authentic Ruby Sliders	Plaintiff's furniture leg cover fits over various sized
Product(s)	furniture legs. The leg cover allows users to move furniture over floors to prevent scratching. It
	features a tight, nano-weave material attached to a
	plastic housing with industrial-strength adhesive.
Ruby Sliders Application	U.S. Trademark Application No. 90/879,340 for the
	,
	trade dress, depicted as , for goods in Class 20
Ruby Sliders Trade Dress	The trade dress covered by the Ruby Sliders
	Application, depicted as and defined as a red
	pad on a furniture slider that contrasts with the upper
	portion of the furniture slider" for goods in Class 20
Plaintiff's Website	Plaintiff's fully interactive website located at
Plaintiff's Storefront	www.rubysliders.com
Plaintill's Storefront	Plaintiff's storefront on Amazon.com through which it sells the Authentic Ruby Sliders Product
Infringing Products	Defendant's products advertised, offered for sale,
Init inging 1 roducts	and/or sold by Defendant via, at a minimum,
	Defendant's Merchant Storefront (as defined <i>infra</i>)
	on Amazon.com, which bear, use, and/or are offered
	for sale and/or sold in connection with the Ruby
	Sliders Trade Dress and/or which bear, use and/or are
	offered for sale and/or sold in connection with marks
	and/or artwork that are confusingly similar to the
	Ruby Sliders Trade Dress and/or which are identical
	or confusingly similar to the Authentic Ruby Sliders
Hear Aggunt(s)	Product Defendant's websites and any accounts with online
User Account(s)	Defendant's websites and any accounts with online marketplace platforms such as Amazon.com, as well
	as any as yet undiscovered accounts with additional
	online marketplace platforms held by or associated
	with Defendant, and/or its officers, employees,
	agents, servants, and all persons in active concert or
	participation with any of them
	participation with any of them

<u>Term</u>	<u>Definition</u>
Merchant Storefront(s)	Any User Accounts, including, without limitation,
	on Amazon.com, through which Defendant, and/or
	its officers, employees, agents, servants, and all
	persons in active concert or participation with any of
	them operate storefronts to manufacture, import,
	export, advertise, market, promote, distribute,
	display, offer for sale, sell and/or otherwise deal in
	Infringing Products, which are held by or associated
	with Defendant, its respective officers, employees,
	agents, servants and all persons in active concert or
	participation with any of them
TRO	The 1) temporary restraining order; 2) order
	restraining Defendant's Merchant Storefronts; 3)
	order to show cause why a preliminary injunction
	should not issue; 4) order authorizing alternative
	service; and 5) order authorizing expedited
	discovery, issued by Judge Cronan on April 14, 2022
	and at Docket Entry 19

WHEREAS, Plaintiff moved on April 12, 2022 against Defendant for the following: 1) a temporary restraining order; 2) an order restraining Defendant's Merchant Storefronts; 3) an order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue; 4) an order authorizing alternative service; and 5) an order authorizing expedited discovery;

WHEREAS, on April 12, 2022 the Court entered an Order ("April 12, 2022 Order"), *inter alia*, scheduling a telephonic hearing on Plaintiff's Application on April 14, 2022 at 3:00 p.m. ("April 14, 2022 Hearing");

WHEREAS, on April 14, 2022, the April 14, 2022 Hearing took place, and Defendant did not appear;

WHEREAS, on April 14, 2022, the Court granted Plaintiff's Application, entered the TRO, and scheduled a telephonic show cause hearing on Plaintiff's Application for a Preliminary Injunction Order for April 26, 2022 at 5:00 p.m. ("PI Show Cause Hearing"), *see* Dkt. 19;

WHEREAS, on April 14, 2022, Plaintiff served a PDF copy of the TRO along with a link to a secure website where Defendant was able to download a PDF copy of the TRO on Defendant via Amazon's messaging system, and thereafter, on April 15, 2022, pursuant to the alternative methods of service authorized by the TRO (namely, by sending an email containing both PDF copies and a secure link containing the below mentioned documents to Defendant through a program called Rmail, which provides Plaintiff with a receipt indicating whether the email sent was successfully delivered to the specified email addresses), Plaintiff served the Summons, Complaint, TRO, and all papers filed in support of Plaintiff's Application on Defendant via its email addresses on file with Amazon: NEOACT@163.com and hadber-us@hotmail.com, see Dkt. 20; and

WHEREAS, on April 26, 2022 at 5:00 p.m., the PI Show Cause Hearing took place, Plaintiff appeared at the Show Cause Hearing, and Defendant did not appear.

ORDER

- The injunctive relief previously granted in the TRO shall remain in place through the pendency of this litigation, and issuing this Order is warranted under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65 and Section 34 of the Lanham Act.
 - a) Accordingly, Defendant is hereby restrained and enjoined from engaging in any of the following acts or omissions pending the final hearing and determination of this action or until further order of the Court:
 - manufacturing, importing, exporting, advertising, marketing, promoting, distributing, displaying, offering for sale, selling, and/or otherwise dealing in the Infringing Products;
 - ii. directly or indirectly infringing in any manner Plaintiff's Ruby Sliders TradeDress;
 - iii. using Plaintiff's Ruby Sliders Trade Dress and/or any other marks that are confusingly similar thereto on or in connection with Defendant's Merchant Storefront(s) and/or Defendant's importation, exportation, advertising, marketing, promoting, distribution, display, offering for sale, sale and/or otherwise dealing in Infringing Products;
 - iv. using any false designation of origin or false description, or engaging in any action which is likely to cause confusion, cause mistake, and/or deceive members of the trade and/or the public as to the affiliation, connection, or association of any product manufactured, imported, exported, advertised,

marketed, promoted, distributed, displayed, offered for sale or sold by Defendant with Plaintiff, and/or as to the origin, sponsorship or approval of any product manufactured, imported, exported, advertised, marketed, promoted, distributed, displayed, offered for sale or sold by Defendant, Merchant Storefront owned or operated by Defendant, services provided by Defendant, and Defendant's commercial activities and Plaintiff's;

- v. secreting, concealing, destroying, altering, selling off, transferring, or otherwise disposing of and/or dealing with: (i) Infringing Products and/or (ii) any computer files, data, business records, documents, or any other records or evidence relating to Defendant's Merchant Storefronts and/or the manufacturing, importation, exportation, advertising, marketing, promotion, distribution, display, offering for sale and/or sale of Infringing Products;
- vi. effecting assignments or transfers, forming new entities or associations, or utilizing any other device for the purposes of circumventing or otherwise avoiding the prohibitions set forth in this Order; and
- vii. knowingly instructing, aiding, or abetting any other person or business entity in engaging in any of the activities referred to in Subparagraphs 1(a)(i) through 1(a)(vi) above and 1(b)(i) through 1(b)(ii) below.
- b) Accordingly, the Third Party Service Providers are hereby restrained and enjoined from engaging in any of the following acts or omissions pending the final hearing and determination of this action or until further order of the Court:
 - secreting, concealing, destroying, altering, selling off, transferring, or otherwise disposing of and/or dealing with any computer files, data, business records,

- documents, or any other records or evidence relating to Defendant's User Accounts and Merchant Storefronts;
- ii. providing services to Defendant, including, without limitation, those relating to the continued operation of Defendant's User Accounts and Merchant Storefronts, the shipment of Defendant's Infringing Products, and the listing and/or display of Defendant's Infringing Products (*i.e.*, by removing any listings for Defendant's Infringing Products and disabling any product identification numbers or ASIN(s) related to the same); and
- iii. knowingly instructing, aiding, or abetting any other person or business entity in engaging in any of the activities referred to in Subparagraphs 1(a)(i) through 1(a)(vi) and 1(b)(i) through 1(b)(ii) above.
- 2. As sufficient cause has been shown, the expedited discovery previously granted in the TRO shall remain in place through the pendency of this litigation, including that:
 - a) Plaintiff may serve interrogatories pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as well as Local Civil Rule 33.3 of the Local Rules for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and Defendant shall provide written responses under oath to such interrogatories within fourteen (14) days of service to Plaintiff's counsel.
 - b) Plaintiff may serve requests for the production of documents pursuant to Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Defendant shall produce all documents responsive to such requests within fourteen (14) days of service to Plaintiff's counsel.
- 3. Within fourteen (14) days of receipt of service of this Order, the Third Party Service Providers shall provide to Plaintiff's counsel all documents and records in their possession, custody, or control (whether located in the U.S. or abroad) relating to Defendant's User

Accounts and Defendant's Merchant Storefronts, including, but not limited to, documents and records relating to:

- a) any User Accounts and Defendant's Merchant Storefronts and account details, including, without limitation, identifying information and account numbers for any User Accounts and Defendant's Merchant Storefronts that Defendant has ever had and/or currently maintains with the Third Party Service Providers;
- b) the identities, location, and contact information, including any e-mail addresses of Defendant; and
- c) Defendant's manufacturing, importation, exportation, advertising, marketing, promotion, distribution, display, offering for sale and/or sale of Infringing Products, or any other products bearing the Ruby Sliders Trade Dress and/or marks and/or artwork that are confusingly similar to, identical to and/or constitute an infringement of the Ruby Sliders Trade Dress.
- 4. As sufficient cause has been shown, and pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(f)(3), service may be made on, and shall be deemed effective as to Defendant if it is completed by delivery of: (i) a PDF copy of this Order, and (ii) a link to a secure website (including NutStore, a large mail link created through Rmail.com and via website publication through a specific page dedicated to this lawsuit accessible through ipcounselorslawsuit.com) where Defendant will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order, to Defendant's e-mail addresses: NEOACT@163.com and hadber-us@hotmail.com.
- 5. As sufficient cause has been shown, that such alternative service by electronic means ordered in the TRO and herein shall be deemed effective as to Defendant and Third Party

Case 1:22-cv-02922-JPC Document 22 Filed 04/27/22 Page 9 of 9

Service Providers through the pendency of this action.

6. As sufficient cause has been shown, service of this Order shall be made on and deemed

effective as to the Third Party Service Providers if it is completed by the following means:

a) delivery of: (i) a true and correct copy of this Order via Federal Express to Amazon at

Corporation Service Company 300 Deschutes Way SW, Suite 304, Tumwater, WA

98501, (ii) a PDF copy of this Order, and (iii) a link to a secure website where Amazon

will be able to download a PDF copy of this Order via electronic mail to Deana Ahn

counsel for Amazon, at deanaahn@dwt.com.

7. Defendant is hereby given notice that it may be deemed to have actual notice of the terms

of this Order and any act by in violation of this Order may be considered and prosecuted

as in contempt of this Court.

8. The \$5,000.00 bond posted by Plaintiff shall remain with the Court until a final disposition

of this case or until this Order is terminated.

9. This Order shall remain in effect during the pendency of this action, or until further order

of the Court.

10. Defendant may appear and move to dissolve or modify the Order on two (2) days' notice

to Plaintiff or on shorter notice as set by the Court.

SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 26th day of April, 2022, at 5:30 p.m.

New York, New York

HON. JOHN P. CRONAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

6